

TIM LINDEN

Rise of the Leptons:

Pulsar Emission Dominates the TeV Gamma-Ray Sky

TeVPA 2018



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

CENTER FOR COSMOLOGY AND
ASTROPARTICLE PHYSICS



Moon (To Scale)

TeV Flux $\sim 3 \times 10^{33} \text{ TeV s}^{-1}$
>10% of Spindown Power!

Powered by inverse Compton scattering of accelerated electrons

Geminga


Extended to 5° (20 pc)!




PSR B0656+14

I will call these objects TeV halos

2HWC Catalog (1702.02992)
HAWC Collaboration (1711.06223)




► **“TeV PWN” observed by HESS have similar fluxes and extensions.**



► Why TeV Halos?

- These sources are much larger than X-Ray PWN

$$R_{\text{PWN}} \simeq 1.5 \left(\frac{\dot{E}}{10^{35} \text{ erg/s}} \right)^{1/2} \times \left(\frac{n_{\text{gas}}}{1 \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{v}{100 \text{ km/s}} \right)^{-3/2} \text{ pc}$$



► Why TeV Halos?

- These sources are much smaller than diffusion through the ISM

$$\tau_{\text{loss}} \approx 30 \text{ Kyr} \quad D_0 \approx 5 \times 10^{28} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$$

$$L = \sqrt{Dt} \approx 2000 \text{ pc}$$

a new morphology requires a new physical mechanism

The Global Population of TeV Halos

▶ **Make One Key Assumption:**

▶ **The following correlation is consistent with the data.**

$$\phi_{\text{TeV halo}} = \left(\frac{\dot{E}_{\text{psr}}}{\dot{E}_{\text{Geminga}}} \right) \left(\frac{d_{\text{Geminga}}^2}{d_{\text{psr}}^2} \right) \phi_{\text{Geminga}}$$

▶ **Note: Using Monogem would increase fluxes by nearly a factor of 2. The power law of this correlation doesn't greatly affect the results.**

HAWC Observations of TeV Halo Luminosities

Linden et al. (PRD; 1703.09704)

ATNF Name	Dec. (°)	Distance (kpc)	Age (kyr)	Spindown Lum. (erg s^{-1})	Spindown Flux ($\text{erg s}^{-1} \text{kpc}^{-2}$)	2HWC
J0633+1746	17.77	0.25	342	$3.2\text{e}34$	$4.1\text{e}34$	2HWC J0631+169
B0656+14	14.23	0.29	111	$3.8\text{e}34$	$3.6\text{e}34$	2HWC J0700+143
B1951+32	32.87	3.00	107	$3.7\text{e}36$	$3.3\text{e}34$	—
J1740+1000	10.00	1.23	114	$2.3\text{e}35$	$1.2\text{e}34$	—
J1913+1011	10.18	4.61	169	$2.9\text{e}36$	$1.1\text{e}34$	2HWC J1912+099
J1831-0952	-9.86	3.68	128	$1.1\text{e}36$	$6.4\text{e}33$	2HWC J1831-098
J2032+4127	41.45	1.70	181	$1.7\text{e}35$	$4.7\text{e}33$	2HWC J2031+415
B1822-09	-9.58	0.30	232	$4.6\text{e}33$	$4.1\text{e}33$	—
B1830-08	-8.45	4.50	147	$5.8\text{e}35$	$2.3\text{e}33$	—
J1913+0904	9.07	3.00	147	$1.6\text{e}35$	$1.4\text{e}33$	—
B0540+23	23.48	1.56	253	$4.1\text{e}34$	$1.4\text{e}33$	—

- ▶ Can produce a ranked list of the 57 ATNF pulsars in the HAWC field of view.
- ▶ 5 of the brightest 7 have been detected.
- ▶ No dimmer systems have been detected.

ATNF Name	Dec. (°)
J0633+1746	17.77
B0656+14	14.23
B1951+32	32.87
J1740+1000	10.00
J1913+1011	10.18
J1831-0952	-9.86
J2032+4127	41.45
B1822-09	-9.58
B1830-08	-8.45
J1913+0904	9.07
B0540+23	23.48

HAWC detection of TeV emission near PSR B0540+23

ATel #10941; *Colas Riviere (University of Maryland), Henrike Fleischhack (Michigan Technological University), Andres Sandoval (Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico) on behalf of the HAWC collaboration*

on 9 Nov 2017; 23:11 UT

Credential Certification: Colas Riviere (riviere@umd.edu)

Subjects: Gamma Ray, TeV, VHE, Pulsar




The High Altitude Water Cherenkov ([HAWC](#)) collaboration reports the discovery of a new TeV gamma-ray source HAWC J0543+233. It was discovered in a search for extended sources of radius 0.5° in a dataset of 911 days (ranging from November 2014 to August 2017) with a test statistic value of 36 (6σ pre-trials), following the method presented in [Abeysekara et al. 2017, ApJ, 843, 40](#). The measured J2000.0 equatorial position is RA= 85.78° , Dec= 23.40° with a statistical uncertainty of 0.2° . HAWC J0543+233 was close to passing the selection criteria of the 2HWC catalog ([Abeysekara et al. 2017, ApJ, 843, 40](#), see [HAWC J0543+233 in 2HWC map](#)), which it now fulfills with the additional data.

HAWC J0543+233 is positionally coincident with the pulsar PSR B0540+23 ($\dot{E} = 4.1e+34$ erg s $^{-1}$, dist = 1.56 kpc, age = 253 kyr). It is the third low \dot{E} , middle-aged pulsar announced to be detected with a TeV halo, along with Geminga and B0656+14. It was predicted to be one of the next such detection by HAWC by [Linden et al., 2017, arXiv:1703.09704](#).

Using a simple source model consisting of a disk of radius 0.5° , the measured spectral index is -2.3 ± 0.2 and the differential flux at 7 TeV is $(7.9 \pm 2.3) \times 10^{-15}$ TeV $^{-1}$ cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$. The errors are statistical only. Further morphological and spectral analysis as well as studies of the systematic uncertainty are ongoing.

⁻²)	2HWC
	2HWC J0631+169
	2HWC J0700+143
	—
	—
	2HWC J1912+099
	2HWC J1831-098
	2HWC J2031+415
	—
	—
	—
	2HWC J0543+233



TIM LINDEN

Rise of the Leptons: Pulsar Emission Dominates the TeV Gamma-Ray Sky



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY
CENTER FOR COSMOLOGY AND
ASTROPARTICLE PHYSICS

TeV Halos are a Generic Feature of Pulsars

Linden et al. (PRD; 1703.09704)

- ▶ **5 / 39 sources in the 2HWC catalog are correlated with bright, middle-aged (100 – 400 kyr) pulsars.**

2HWC Name	ATNF Name	Distance (kpc)	Angular Separation	Projected Separation	Expected Flux ($\times 10^{-15}$)	Actual Flux ($\times 10^{-15}$)	Flux Ratio	Expected Extension	Actual Extension	Age (kyr)	Chance Overlap
J0700+143	B0656+14	0.29	0.18°	0.91 pc	43.0	23.0	1.87	2.0°	1.73°	111	0.0
J0631+169	J0633+1746	0.25	0.89°	3.88 pc	48.7	48.7	1.0	2.0°	2.0°	342	0.0
J1912+099	J1913+1011	4.61	0.34°	27.36 pc	13.0	36.6	0.36	0.11°	0.7°	169	0.30
J2031+415	J2032+4127	1.70	0.11°	3.26 pc	5.59	61.6	0.091	0.29°	0.7°	181	0.002
J1831-098	J1831-0952	3.68	0.04°	2.57 pc	7.70	95.8	0.080	0.14°	0.9°	128	0.006

TeV Halos are a Generic Feature of Pulsars

Linden et al. (PRD; 1703.09704)

- ▶ **12 others with young pulsars**
 - ▶ **2.3 chance overlaps**
 - ▶ **TeV emission may be contaminated by SNR**

2HWC Name	ATNF Name	Distance (kpc)	Angular Separation	Projected Separation	Expected Flux ($\times 10^{-15}$)	Actual Flux ($\times 10^{-15}$)	Flux Ratio	Expected Extension	Actual Extension	Age (kyr)	Chance Overlap
J1930+188	J1930+1852	7.0	0.03°	3.67 pc	23.2	9.8	2.37	0.07°	0.0°	2.89	0.002
J1814-173	J1813-1749	4.7	0.54°	44.30 pc	243	152	1.60	0.11°	1.0°	5.6	0.61
J2019+367	J2021+3651	1.8	0.27°	8.48 pc	99.8	58.2	1.71	0.28°	0.7°	17.2	0.04
J1928+177	J1928+1746	4.34	0.03°	2.27 pc	8.08	10.0	0.81	0.11°	0.0°	82.6	0.002
J1908+063	J1907+0602	2.58	0.36°	16.21 pc	40.0	85.0	0.47	0.2°	0.8°	19.5	0.26
J2020+403	J2021+4026	2.15	0.18°	6.75 pc	2.48	18.5	0.134	0.23°	0.0°	77	0.01
J1857+027	J1856+0245	6.32	0.12°	13.24 pc	11.0	97.0	0.11	0.08°	0.9°	20.6	0.06
J1825-134	J1826-1334	3.61	0.20°	12.66 pc	20.5	249	0.082	0.14°	0.9°	21.4	0.14
J1837-065	J1838-0655	6.60	0.38°	43.77 pc	12.0	341	0.035	0.08°	2.0°	22.7	0.48
J1837-065	J1837-0604	4.78	0.50°	41.71 pc	8.3	341	0.024	0.10°	2.0°	33.8	0.68
J2006+341	J2004+3429	10.8	0.42°	80.07 pc	0.48	24.5	0.019	0.04°	0.9°	18.5	0.08


Missing TeV Halos

Linden et al. (PRD; 1703.09704)

- ▶ **Tauris and Manchester (1998) calculated the beaming angle from a population of young and middle-aged pulsars.**

$$f = \left[1.1 \left(\log_{10} \left(\frac{\tau}{100 \text{ Myr}} \right) \right)^2 + 15 \right] \%$$

- ▶ **This varies between 15-30%.**
- ▶ **1/f pulsars are unseen in radio surveys.**




Missing TeV Halos

Linden et al. (PRD; 1703.09704)

2HWC Name	ATNF Name	Distance (kpc)	Angular Separation	Projected Separation	Expected Flux ($\times 10^{-15}$)	Actual Flux ($\times 10^{-15}$)	Flux Ratio	Expected Extension	Actual Extension	Age (kyr)	Chance Overlap
J0700+143	B0656+14	0.29	0.18°	0.91 pc	43.0	23.0	1.87	2.0°	1.73°	111	0.0
J0631+169	J0633+1746	0.25	0.89°	3.88 pc	48.7	48.7	1.0	2.0°	2.0°	342	0.0
J1912+099	J1913+1011	4.61	0.34°	27.36 pc	13.0	36.6	0.36	0.11°	0.7°	169	0.30
J2031+415	J2032+4127	1.70	0.11°	3.26 pc	5.59	61.6	0.091	0.29°	0.7°	181	0.002
J1831-098	J1831-0952	3.68	0.04°	2.57 pc	7.70	95.8	0.080	0.14°	0.9°	128	0.006

2HWC Name	ATNF Name	Distance (kpc)	Angular Separation	Projected Separation	Expected Flux ($\times 10^{-15}$)	Actual Flux ($\times 10^{-15}$)	Flux Ratio	Expected Extension	Actual Extension	Age (kyr)	Chance Overlap
J1930+188	J1930+1852	7.0	0.03°	3.67 pc	23.2	9.8	2.37	0.07°	0.0°	2.89	0.002
J1814-173	J1813-1749	4.7	0.54°	44.30 pc	243	152	1.60	0.11°	1.0°	5.6	0.61
J2019+367	J2021+3651	1.8	0.27°	8.48 pc	99.8	58.2	1.71	0.28°	0.7°	17.2	0.04
J1928+177	J1928+1746	4.34	0.03°	2.27 pc	8.08	10.0	0.81	0.11°	0.0°	82.6	0.002
J1908+063	J1907+0602	2.58	0.36°	16.21 pc	40.0	85.0	0.47	0.2°	0.8°	19.5	0.26
J2020+403	J2021+4026	2.15	0.18°	6.75 pc	2.48	18.5	0.134	0.23°	0.0°	77	0.01
J1857+027	J1856+0245	6.32	0.12°	13.24 pc	11.0	97.0	0.11	0.08°	0.9°	20.6	0.06
J1825-134	J1826-1334	3.61	0.20°	12.66 pc	20.5	249	0.082	0.14°	0.9°	21.4	0.14
J1837-065	J1838-0655	6.60	0.38°	43.77 pc	12.0	341	0.035	0.08°	2.0°	22.7	0.48
J1837-065	J1837-0604	4.78	0.50°	41.71 pc	8.3	341	0.024	0.10°	2.0°	33.8	0.68
J2006+341	J2004+3429	10.8	0.42°	80.07 pc	0.48	24.5	0.019	0.04°	0.9°	18.5	0.08

- ▶ Correcting for the beaming fraction implies 56^{+15}_{-11} TeV halos are currently observed by HAWC.
- ▶ However, only 39 HAWC sources total.
- ▶ Chance overlaps, SNR contamination must be taken into account.




IMPLICATIONS

Implication I: The TeV Excess




Linden & Buckman (PRL; 1707.01905)

- ▶ Milagro detects bright diffuse TeV emission along the Galactic plane.
- ▶ Difficult to explain with pion decay, due to steeply falling local hadronic CR spectrum.
- ▶ The Geminga and Monogem TeV halo spectra naturally explain both the spectrum and intensity of this emission - **No assumptions about diffusion!**




Implication II: The Galactic Center Pevatron

- ▶ HESS observes 50 TeV diffuse emission from the Galactic center.
- ▶ TeV halos explain the spectrum and intensity of this emission. **No assumptions about diffusion!**



Implication III: The Positron Excess




Implication III: The Positron Excess

Extrapolate Low Diffusion Constant UP to Earth

implies:

Low-Energy Positrons **do not** make it to Earth




HAWC Collaboration (Science; 1711.06223)

Extrapolate High Diffusion DOWN to Earth

implies:

Low-Energy Positrons **do** make it to Earth





Hooper et al. (1702.08436)

Fang et al. (1803.02640)

Profumo et al. (1803.09731)


Implication III: The Positron Excess



▶ HESS Observations of 20 TeV electrons resolve this.

▶ If diffusion near Earth is low, then there is no source for these particles.

Implication III: The Positron Excess



The Limited Assumptions in TeV Halo Observations

TeV Gamma-Ray Luminosity Roughly Proportional to Spindown Power

= Pulsars explain the Milagro TeV Excess

+ High Energy electrons trapped in TeV halos

= Most HAWC Sources are TeV halos

+ Low energy electrons escape from TeV halos

= Pulsars explain the positron excess

+ GC pulsars consistent with massive star formation

= TeV halos explain the HESS pevatron


+ MSPs produce TeV halos

= New Population of Blind Search TeV MSPs

A First Model for TeV Halo Formation

Evoli, Linden, Morlino (1807.09263)


- ▶ **Cosmic-Ray leptons injected by the pulsar excite Alfvén waves through the streaming instability.**
- ▶ **This drastically inhibits cosmic-ray diffusion near the pulsar – propagation can be inhibited by 1000x in young systems.**
- ▶ **The duration of this effect varies significantly based on the assumed turbulence model, further observations necessary to constrain models.**



A First Model for TeV Halo Formation

Evoli, Linden, Morlino (1807.09263)

- ▶ Because most cosmic-rays are injected at high energies, high-energy diffusion is more inhibited.
- ▶ Can significantly effect the typical Kolmogorov or Kraichnan turbulence spectra.



Conclusions (1/2)

- ▶ **TeV halos are a new dynamical object.**
- ▶ **Have already observed ~20 objects; >100 inevitable**
- ▶ **Simple extrapolations of observed systems imply:**
 - ▶ **TeV halos dominate the TeV source number.**
 - ▶ **TeV halos dominate Milky Way diffuse emission.**
 - ▶ **TeV halos produce the positron excess.**

Conclusions (2/2)


- ▶ **TeV Halos will provide new insight into pulsar birth, death, and evolution, providing a new handle into the multi-wavelength study of neutron star dynamics.**
- ▶ **TeV halos provide the first evidence for significant inhomogeneities in Galactic cosmic-ray propagation – new insights into cosmic-ray observations (e.g. AMS-02).**

Implication III: The Positron Excess

▶ What we want to know is the average diffusion constant between Geminga and Earth.

▶ What we have is:

Diffuse Constant Near Geminga



Diffuse Constant in Milky Way

