#### Dark Matter in the Galactic Center



#### Tim Linden University of Chicago

<sup>along with:</sup> Eric Carlson, Ilias Cholis, Dan Hooper, Manoj Kaplinghat, Stefano Profumo, Jennifer Siegal-Gaskins, Tracy Slatyer, Hai-Bo Yu



The Galactic Center: Feeding and Feedback in a Normal Galactic Nucleus IAU 303 - Santa Fe NM, October 4, 2013

#### Goal of the Talk

• Overview of Dark Matter Physics

• A Gamma-Ray Signal at the Galactic Center !?

• Supporting Lower-Energy Observations

Necessary Future Observations

#### Gravitational Effects of Dark Matter in the GC

There isn't any:

Using the standard Navarro-Frenk-White Density profile for Dark Matter:

$$\rho_{NFW}(r) = \rho_c (\frac{R_c}{r})(1 + \frac{r}{R_c})^{-2}$$

We obtain a mass within 0.1 kpc of the Galactic Center which is:

$$\left(2.6 \times 10^7 \frac{M_{\odot}}{kpc^3}\right) 4\pi \int_0^{0.1 kpc} r^2 \frac{22kpc}{r} \left(1 + \frac{r}{22kpc}\right)^2 dr = \frac{3.7 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}}{3.7 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}}$$

Any detection of dark matter at the galactic center will depend on its particle nature

#### Dark Matter Particle Physics (1 Slide Only, I Swear)





If this weak force interaction existed in the early universe, then it should still occur (at a suppressed rate) today.

We can look for these interactions.

#### Astrophysics of Dark Matter Annihilation

 Dark Matter Annihilation Rate is separable into astrophysical and particle physics components

$$\Phi_{DM} = \int \int \frac{dN}{dE} < \sigma v > \frac{\rho^2}{M_{DM}^2} dV dE = \begin{bmatrix} \int \frac{dN}{dE} < \sigma v > \frac{1}{M_{DM}^2} dE \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \int \rho_r^2 dV \end{bmatrix}$$
  
Particle Physics Astrophysics

 The particle physics properties should be independent of the position in the universe - so we can compare different dark matter detection regimes without regard for a given dark matter particle physics model

#### **Dark Matter Annihilation at the Galactic Center**

Ackermann et al. 20	012	Dwarfs				
Name	1	b	d	$\overline{\log_{10}(J)}$	$\sigma$	ref.
	deg.	deg.	kpc	$\log_{10}[\text{GeV}]$	$V^2 \mathrm{cm}^{-5}$ ]	
Bootes I	358.08	69.62	60	17.7	0.34	[15]
Carina	260.11	-22.22	101	18.0	0.13	[16]
Coma Berenices	241.9	83.6	44	19.0	0.37	[17]
Draco	86.37	34.72	80	18.8	0.13	[16]
Fornax	237.1	-65.7	138	17.7	0.23	[16]
Sculptor	287.15	-83.16	80	18.4	0.13	[16]
Segue 1	220.48	50.42	<b>23</b>	19.6	0.53	[18]
Sextans	243.4	42.2	86	17.8	0.23	[16]
Ursa Major II	152.46	37.44	32	19.6	0.40	[17]
Ursa Minor	104.95	44.80	66	18.5	0.18	[16]

Corresponds to the relative
annihilation rate of the
region compared to other
astrophysical sources

$$\Phi_{\gamma} \propto J = \frac{1}{\Delta \Omega} \int \mathrm{d}\Omega \int_{\mathrm{l.o.s.}} \rho^2(l) \mathrm{d}l(\psi)$$

• The J-factor of the galactic center is approximately:

 $log_{10}(J) = 21.0$ 

for a region within 1° of the Galactic center and an NFW profile

Ackermann et al.				
Cluster	$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{A}$	Dec.	z	$J \ (10^{17} \ {\rm GeV^2} \ {\rm cm^{-5}})$
AWM 7	43.6229	41.5781	0.0172	$1.4^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$
Fornax	54.6686	-35.3103	0.0046	$6.8^{+1.0}_{-0.9}$
M49	187.4437	7.9956	0.0033	$4.4^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$
NGC 4636	190.7084	2.6880	0.0031	$4.1^{+0.3}_{-0.3}$
Centaurus (A3526)	192.1995	-41.3087	0.0114	$2.7^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$
Coma	194.9468	27.9388	0.0231	$1.7^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$

#### Dark Matter as a (Thermal) Energy Source in the GC

# Completely Insignificant

Using the NFW Profile and a standard 100 GeV dark matter particle annihilating to bb:

$$2M_{DM} \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{2} \frac{\rho_0^2}{M_{DM}^2} 4\pi \int_0^{100pc} r^2 \rho_{r,NFW}^2 dr = \frac{4.2 \times 10^{35} \frac{erg}{s}}{s}$$

#### Dark Matter as a (High Energy) Source in the GC

Very Significant



Dark Matter annihilation injects energy primarily in the GeV energy range, can produce a significant population of high energy particles.

#### Dark Matter as a (High Energy) Source in the GC

Very Significant



Dark Matter annihilation can produce non-thermal emission on many energy scales

#### Dark Matter as a (High Energy) Source in the GC

### Back of the Envelope Calculation

• Total Gamma-Ray Flux from 1-3 GeV within 1° of Galactic Center is

~1 x 10<sup>-7</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>

• This is equivalent to the number of photons expected in this energy bin from a "vanilla" 100 GeV dark matter candidate annihilating to bb with a cross-section  $\langle \sigma v \rangle = 1.6 \times 10^{-25} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (5 times our "magic" cross-section)

 There's no reason this needs to be true -- the total gamma-ray emission from the Galactic center happens to fall within an order of magnitude of the most naive prediction from dark matter simulations

# So you want to search for dark matter at the Galactic Center?

## What do you do?

#### Searching for Dark Matter at the GC: Fermi-LAT



#### Dark Matter Limits in the Simplest Way Possible



Hooper & Linden (2011)

- After subtracting emission from known point sources, and an extrapolation of the line-of-sight gas density, the following "galactic center" emission is calculated
- This directly corresponds to a limit on the dark matter interaction cross-section which depends only on assumed dark matter density profile



#### Is It A Point Source?

- Several efforts have been made to fit the GC point source, using both best-fitting point-source tools from the Fermi collaboration (Boyarsky et al. Chernyakova et. al), as well as independent software packages (Hooper & Goodenough)
- In all cases, the morphology of the observed emission cannot be fully accounted for by a single point source smeared out by the angular resolution of the Fermi-LAT





#### Is It A Point Source?

Abazajian & Kaplinghat found a 20σ preference for models including an extended, spherically symmetric excess

Including only a point source at the galactic center significantly oversubtracts the GC

Spatial Model	Spectrum	$\mathrm{TS}_{\approx}$	$-\ln \mathcal{L}$	$\Delta \ln \mathcal{L}$
Baseline	-	-	140070.2	_
Density $\Gamma = 0.7$	LogPar	1725.5	139755.5	314.7
Density <sup>2</sup> $\gamma = 0.9$	LogPar	1212.8	139740.0	330.2
Density <sup>2</sup> $\gamma = 1.0$	LogPar	1441.8	139673.3	396.9
Density <sup>2</sup> $\gamma = 1.1$	LogPar	2060.5	139651.8	418.3
Density <sup>2</sup> $\gamma = 1.2$	LogPar	4044.9	139650.9	419.2
Density <sup>2</sup> $\gamma = 1.3$	LogPar	7614.2	139686.8	383.4
Density <sup>2</sup> Einasto	LogPar	1301.3	139695.7	374.4
Density <sup>2</sup> $\gamma = 1.2$	PLCut	3452.5	139663.2	407.0

Abazajian & Kaplinghat (2012)

![](_page_14_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### So You Think You've Found An Excess?

 These observations have yielded strong evidence for a bright, extended, spherically symmetric gamma-ray residual around the galactic center

What can we learn about physics from these observations?

• 1.)  $\pi^0$  decay

• 2.) Dark Matter Annihilation

- 3.) A new astrophysical source
  - e.g. millisecond pulsars
  - Something else?

#### **Proton Emission from Sgr A\***

• H.E.S.S. observations of TeV gamma-rays from the GC are very well fit by a scenario where high energy protons are emitted by Sgr A\* and collide with the dense gas nearby

Tuning the diffusion parameter can explain the different gamma-ray spectra observed at GeV and TeV energies

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **Understanding the Gas Morphology**

- The vast majority of emission stems from within 3 pc of the galactic center at all energies
- This lies below the PSF of all current gamma-ray instruments
- This effectively rules out hadronic interactions from Sgr A\* as the source of the Fermi-LAT excess

![](_page_18_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### **Dark Matter Fits**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Dark Matter creates an excellent statistical fit to both the morphology and spectrum of the residual
- Of course dark matter predictions are somewhat malleable

#### See Next Talk by Chris Gordon

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

TABLE II. The best-fit TS, negative log likelihoods, and  $\Delta \mathcal{L}$  from the baseline, for specific dark matter channel models, using the  $\alpha\beta\gamma$  profile (Eq. 2.1) with  $\alpha = 1, \beta = 3, \gamma = 1.2$ .

channel, $m_{\chi}$	TS	$-\ln \mathcal{L}$	$\Delta \ln \mathcal{L}$
bb. 10 GeV	2385.7	139913.6	156.5
$b\bar{b}$ , 30 GeV	3460.3	139658.3	411.8
bb, 100 GeV bb, 300 GeV	1303.1 229.4	139881.1 140056.6	189.0 13.5
$b\bar{b}$ , 1 TeV	25.5	140108.2	-38.0
bb, 2.5  TeV $ au^+  au^-, 10 \text{ GeV}$	7.6 1628.7	$140114.2 \\ 139787.7$	-44.0 282.5
$ au^+ au^-$ , 30 GeV	232.7	140055.9	14.2
$ au^+ au^-,100~{ m GeV}$	4.10	140113.4	-43.3

![](_page_19_Figure_8.jpeg)

Abazajian & Kaplinghat (2012)

### **Millisecond Pulsar Fits**

A population of undiscovered MSPs in the Galactic Center could fit the observed excess

- The spectrum of the MSP population is a reasonable fit
- I know there should be some MSPs in the GC

Friday, October 4, 2013

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

Omega Cen:

![](_page_20_Figure_5.jpeg)

 $\Gamma = 0.7^{+0.7+0.4}_{-0.6-0.4}, E_{\rm c} = 1.2^{+0.7+0.2}_{-0.4-0.2},$ 

 <u>Personal Opinion</u>: It's not clear that new data from the GC will greatly improve our measurements of the GC excess - at least not in any way which can distinguish dark matter and MSPs

 $m_{\rm DM}~({\rm GeV})$ 

While dwarfs would provide a background free environment for the possible detection of a dark matter signal, it's not clear that the limits will ever hit the cross-sections indicated by GC observations

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

Mass [GeV]

Friday, October 4, 2013

"good" dwarfs

Median Expected

68% Containment 5% Containment

Bayesian

 $10^{-22}$ 

 $10^{-23}$ 

 $10^{-24}$ 

 $10^{-25}$ 

 $10^{-26}$ 

 $\langle \sigma v \rangle \, (cm^3 \, s^{-1})$ 

Aaximum Likelihood

 $10^{1}$ 

#### Fermi Bubbles?

 The spectrum of millisecond pulsars does not fit the observed Y-ray spectrum of the Fermi bubbles

 Smaller background contamination
 = Small possibility that mis-subtraction of point sources can solve this

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

Hooper et al. (2013)

#### Fermi Bubbles?

The spectrum of millisecond pulsars does not fit the observed Y-ray spectrum of the Fermi bubbles

Smaller background
 contamination = Small
 possibility that mis subtraction of point sources
 can solve this

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

Hooper & Slatyer (2013)

Hooper et al. (2013)

Name	Alternative Name	$lpha_{0.33GHz}^{1.4GHz}$	$lpha_{1.4GHz}^{4.8GHz}$	$\alpha^{>}_{4.8GHz}$	References
G0.08+0.15	Northern Thread	-0.5	-0.5	-2.0	Lang et al. (1999b); LaRosa et al. (2000)
G358.85 + 0.47	The Pelican	-0.6	-0.8 $\pm$ 0.2	$-1.5\pm0.3$	Kassim et al. (1999); Lang et al. (1999a)
G359.1-0.02	The Snake	-1.1	~0.0	*	Nicholls & Gray (1993); Gray et al. (1995)
G359.32-0.16		-0.1	-1.0		LaRosa et al. (2004)
G359.79 + 0.17	RF-N8	$-0.6\pm0.1$	-0.9 to -1.3		Law et al. (2008a)
G359.85 + 0.39	<b>RF-N10</b>	0.15 to -1.1**	-0.6 to -1.5**		LaRosa et al. (2001); Law et al. (2008a)
G359.96+0.09	Southern Thread	-0.5			LaRosa et al. (2000)
G359.45-0.040	Sgr C Filament	-0.5		$\textbf{-0.46} \pm 0.32$	Liszt & Spiker (1995); Law et al. (2008a)
G359.54 + 0.18	Ripple		-0.5 to -0.8		Law et al. (2008a)
G359.36 + 0.10	RF-C12		-0.5 to -1.8		Law et al. (2008a)
G0.15+0.23	RF-N1 (in Radio Arc)		+0.2 to -0.5		Law et al. (2008a)
G0.09-0.09				0.15	Reich (2003)

\*Two very different values exist in the literature for the high frequency spectrum of the Snake. Gray et al. (1995) cites a value of -0.2  $\pm$  0.2, while a more recent analysis by Law et al. (2008b) yields  $\alpha_{4.8GHz}^{8.33} = -1.86 \pm 0.64$ 

\*Spectrum is highly position dependent, but shows a clear trend towards steeper spectral slopes at high frequencies for any given position

#### Linden et al. (2011)

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

• Dark Matter can easily produce such a spectrum!

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

Linden et al. (2011)

 The radial profile of radio filaments may suggest a dark matter injection morphology Hard spectrum, non-thermal radio filaments can be fit with dark matter annihilation

![](_page_28_Figure_5.jpeg)

 X-Ray observations find a total of 2347 point sources within 40 pc of the GC - this could include a large population of MSPs

 MSPs exist in a particular location on the luminositycolor diagram in 47 Tuc

• Can this information be used to determine the statistical distribution of MSPs?

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Another method for distinguishing between gamma-ray emission models is to investigate the production of electron and positron pairs
- These charged leptons will lose considerable energy to synchrotron radiation, producing a bright radio signal in the galactic center

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_4.jpeg)

Positive: The angular resolution of radio telescopes is significantly greater than gamma-ray observatories

Negative: The diffusion and energy loss time of charged electrons adds additional uncertainties to the model

What future measurements are most likely to constrain, or provide convincing evidence for a dark matter signal?

 What new missions, pointing strategies, analyses are most likely to elucidate current dark matter models?

- Comments?
- Opinions?
- Criticism?