Tim Linden Antihelium from Dark Matter Annihilation















1 Observed Photon Within 10 $^\circ\,$ of Galactic Center

1000 Dark Matter Mass (GeV) 10^{4}



 10^{5}











































Small Dark Matter Signal Large Astrophysical Background

Small Dark Matter Signal Large Astrophysical Background

Easy

Small Dark Matter Signal Large Astrophysical Background

Easy

Small Dark Matter Signal Large Astrophysical Background

Acceptable

Easy

Small Dark Matter Signal Large Astrophysical Background

Anti-Nuclei

Gamma-Rays / Positrons

Antiprotons

NOT MY DEPARTMENT

Astrophysical secondary production has a large momentum in the lab (galactic) frame!

Antinuclei are heavy - inherit this momentum.

AntiNuclei: A Clean Search Strategy

Tentative Evidence for Antinuclei

Chasing an AntiHelium Signal

1.) Coalescence Rates (1401.2461)

- Astrophysical Acceleration (2001.08749)

2.) Lambda_b Enhancement (2006.16251, 2106.00053)

3.) Strongly Coupled Dark Sectors (2211.00025)

Earth
08961)
100

 $E_{A}\frac{d^{3}N_{A}}{dp_{A}^{3}} = B_{A}\left(E_{\bar{p}}\frac{d^{3}N_{\bar{p}}}{dp_{\bar{p}}^{3}}\right)^{Z}\left(E_{\bar{n}}\frac{d^{3}N_{\bar{n}}}{dp_{\bar{n}}^{3}}\right)^{A-Z}$

Key Insight - Coalescence Momentum for Antihelium Should Be Larger

While particle coalescence is hard to measure, the inverse process (fragmentation) is easier to measure. Helium's binding energy significantly exceeds deuteriums

$$p_0^{A=3} = \sqrt{B_{^3\overline{He}}/B_{\bar{D}}}$$

Can also use Heavy ion results (Berkeley Collider), which provide a lower-measurement of the coalescence momentum at a specific particle energy: Antihelium from Dark Matter

$$p_0^{A=3} = 1.28 \ p_0^{A=3}$$

$$p_0^{A=2} = 0.357 \pm 0.059 \text{ GeV/c.}$$

$^{=2} = 0.246 \pm 0.038 \text{ GeV/c}.$

s and Sebastian

High St.,

. Chicago?.

Eric Carlson, 1,2, Adam Coogan, 5,8 a Eric Profumo, 1,2, t Alejandro Ibarra, 1156

2 Santa Cruz Institute no Calitornia, 11, 5.

3 Department of Physics, University of Ci-

4 Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics, 20d

720d. Technische Universität München, J.

1 Department of Physics, University of California, 11

Coalescence Models - Expected Helium Flux

Using more realistic estimates for the anti helium coalescence momentum produces a boosted anti helium flux, especially at low energies.

Korsmeier (2017; 1711.08465)

Earth
08961)
100

Coalescence Models - Expected Helium Flux

Using more realistic estimates for the anti helium coalescence momentum produces a boosted anti helium flux, especially at low energies.

Korsmeier (2017; 1711.08465)

However the Rigidity of these Antihelium Events is High

Idea 2: A New Method for Producing Antihelium

Dark Matter Annihilation Can Produce a Detectable Antihelium Flux through $\overline{\Lambda}_b$ **Decays**

¹Stockholm University and The Oskar Klein Centre for Cosmoparticle Physics, Alba Nova, 10691 Stockholm, Sweden

Recent observations by the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer (AMS-02) have tentatively detected a handful of cosmic-ray antihelium events. Such events have long been considered as smoking-gun evidence for new physics, because astrophysical antihelium production is expected to be negligible. However, the dark-matter-induced antihelium flux is also expected to fall below current sensitivities, particularly in light of existing antiproton constraints. Here, we demonstrate that a previously neglected standard model process — the production of antihelium through the displaced-vertex decay of Λ_b -baryons — can significantly boost the dark matter induced antihelium flux. This process can triple the standard prompt-production of antihelium, and more importantly, entirely dominate the production of the high-energy antihelium nuclei reported by AMS-02.

In this *letter*, we challenge the current understanding that INTRODUCTION standard dark matter annihilation models cannot produce a measurable antihelium flux. Our analysis examines a known, The detection of massive cosmic-ray antinuclei has long and potentially dominant, antinuclei production mode which been considered a holy grail in searches for WIMP dark mathas been neglected by previous literature – the production of ter [1, 2]. Primary cosmic-rays from astrophysical sources are antihelium through the off-vertex decays of the Λ_b . Such botmatter-dominated, accelerated by nearby supernova, pulsars, tom baryons are generically produced in dark matter annihiand other extreme objects. The secondary cosmic-rays prolation channels involving b quarks. Their decays efficiently duced by the hadronic interactions of primary cosmic-rays can produce heavy antinuclei due to their antibaryon number and include an antinuclei component, but the flux is highly sup-5.6 GeV rest-mass, which effectively decays to multi-nucleon pressed by baryon number conservation and kinematic constates with small relative momenta. Intriguingly, because any straints [3, 4]. Dark matter annihilation, on the other hand, ³He produced by $\overline{\Lambda}_b$ inherits its boost factor, these nuclei occurs within the rest frame of the Milky Way and produces can obtain the large center-of-mass momenta necessary to fit equal baryon and antibaryon fluxes [1, 5-7]AMS-02 data [13].

Martin Wolfgang Winkler^{1,*} and Tim Linden^{1,†}

A Standard Model Resonance to Enhance Antihelium

Previous analyses have missed the (potentially) dominant contribution to anti-Helium production.

 $\overline{\Lambda_{b}}$ has correct parameters to produce ${}^{3}\overline{He}$:

- Antibaryon number of 1

- Mass: 5.6 GeV ($\bar{p}, \bar{p}, \bar{n}, p, p$)

- Or: $\bar{p}, \bar{n}, \bar{n}, p, p$ because ${}^{3}H \rightarrow {}^{3}He$

 $R \propto p_0^{3(A-1)}$ $R \propto \exp[-(p_i - p_f)]$

A High-Momentum Bump!

Can produce a significant enhancement of the total anti helium flux.

Moreover, the enhancement is at highenergies - matching the data.

	2.	×	10
	1.5	×	10
T ∙ dN _d / dT	1.	×	10
	5.	×	10

Winkler & Linden (2020; 2020.16251)

Uncertainties in the Rate

 $\overline{\Lambda_b} \rightarrow {}^{3}\text{He rate}$

Pythia: $P(\overline{\Lambda_b} \rightarrow {}^3\overline{He} + X) \sim 10^{-6}$

Can We Find this At Particle Accelerators?

<u>Cern.ch</u> - Non-prompt antinuclei at the LHC- 09/02/22

Can we distinguish the ³He coming from the primary vertex from those coming from $\overline{\Lambda}_h$ decays?

Current status

Uncertainties in the Rate

There are tunes of Pythia that decrease the expected anti-helium flux.

Di Mauro et al. (2504.07172)

Some Caveats

- kinetic energy.
- Also: See Isospin Asymmetry Slides from Thomas Pöschl

2.) No searches for ${}^{3}H + n + n + \pi^{+}$. This could dominate, for example, if the proton and ³He quickly re-annihilate due to Coulomb attraction.

1.) There is a factor of two offset, because tritium decays to ³He in space.

- This can potentially be larger, because $\overline{p} + \overline{n} + \overline{n} + p + n$ has smaller

Problem: Are We Actually Observing Antihelium 4?

Cannot Enhance Antihelium-4 with Λ_b

Λ_b has correct parameters to produce ³He:

- Antibaryon number of 1 - Mass: 5.6 GeV

Too light to produce ⁴He!

Cosmic Ray Antihelium from a Strongly Coupled Dark Sector

Martin Wolfgang Winkler,^{1,2,*} Pedro De La Torre Luque,^{2,†} and Tim Linden^{2,‡}

¹Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, 78712 TX, USA ²The Oskar Klein Centre, Department of Physics, Stockholm University, AlbaNova, SE-10691 Stockholm, Sweden

Standard Model extensions with a strongly coupled dark sector can induce high-multiplicity states of soft quarks. Such final states trigger extremely efficient antinucleus formation. We show that dark matter annihilation or decay into a strongly coupled sector can dramatically enhance the cosmic-ray antinuclei flux – by six orders of magnitude in the case of ${}^{4}\overline{\text{He}}$. In this work, we argue that the tentative ${}^{3}\overline{\text{He}}$ and ${}^{4}\overline{\text{He}}$ events reported by the AMS-02 collaboration could be the first sign of a strongly coupled dark sector observed in nature.

I. INTRODUCTION

31 Oct 2022

Cosmic-ray (CR) antinuclei are among the most promising targets in the indirect search for particle dark matter (DM). While the formation of antinuclei by DM annihilation or decay is strongly suppressed compared to *e.g.* gamma rays, the astrophysical antinuclei backgrounds – which arise from interactions of cosmic ray protons and helium with the interstellar gas – are extremely low. Therefore, the unambiguous discovery of even a single cosmic-ray antinucleus could provide smoking-gun evidence for particle DM [1, 2].

Just make a ton of quarks.

The production of heavy nuclei scales strongly with the number of quarks in the final state.

In QCD, a single 100 GeV annihilation produces O(100) pions

The dark matter model looks like a dark version of QCD.

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -rac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} G'_{\mu
u} G'^{\mu
u} - ar{q}'(i D - m_{q'}) q'$$

The dark pions need to be very heavy — so the dark matter also has to be very heavy.

For annihilating dark matter — we are limited by unitarity.

For decaying dark matter, we are not.

DM type	Annihilating	Decayi				
Input Parameters						
m_{χ} [TeV]	150	5000				
m_{ϕ} [TeV]	50.4	375				
$m_{\pi'}$ [GeV]	380	700				
$N_{\pi'}$	256	1024				
$\langle \sigma v \rangle [\mathrm{cm}^3 \mathrm{s}^{-1}]$	$6.6 imes10^{-24}$					
$\Gamma [s^{-1}]$		9×10^{-1}				
Antinuclei Events at AMS-02						
³ He	15.6	20.3				
$4\overline{\text{He}}$	1.0	3.1				
ā	19.3	1.2				
Antinuclei Events at GAPS						
ā	0.7	0				

This significantly boosts the anti helium production rate: By a factor of n⁹ for ${}^{3}\overline{He}$ and n¹² for ${}^{4}\overline{He}$

Increasingly Unlikely Methods for Producing Antihelium

<u>Coalescence</u> - Essentially predicts the number of quarks that will fuse into anti helium

Reacceleration - Important when the particle is very low energy (from coalescence) and also has charge +2 (antihelium specific)

produce antihelium was not known.

<u>Dark Sectors</u> - Antiproton production is small due to heavy DM mass. Antihelium is enhanced (compared to typical rates for heavy dark matter, ~O(10⁶).

Where do the AMS-02 anti-helium events come from?

Vivian Poulin¹, Pierre Salati², Ilias Cholis^{3,1}, Marc Kamionkowski¹, and Joseph Silk^{1,4,5} ¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA ²LAPTh, Université Savoie Mont Blanc & CNRS, 74941 Annecy Cedex, France ³Department of Physics, Oakland University, Rochester, MI 48309, USA ⁴Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ. Paris 6 et CNRS, UMR 7095, Institut dAstrophysique de Paris, 98 bis bd Arago, 75014 Paris, France ⁵Beecroft Institute of Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology, Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Denys Wilkinson Building, 1 Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH, UK (Dated: March 26, 2019)

We discuss the origin of the anti-helium-3 and -4 events possibly detected by AMS-02. Using up-to-date semi-analytical tools, we show that spallation from primary hydrogen and helium nuclei onto the ISM predicts a ³He flux typically one to two orders of magnitude below the sensitivity of AMS-02 after 5 years, and a ⁴He flux roughly 5 orders of magnitude below the AMS-02 sensitivity. We argue that dark matter annihilations face similar difficulties in explaining this event. We then entertain the possibility that these events originate from anti-matter-dominated regions in the form of anti-clouds or anti-stars. In the case of anti-clouds, we show how the isotopic ratio of anti-helium nuclei might suggest that BBN has happened in an inhomogeneous manner, resulting in anti-regions with a anti-baryon-to-photon ratio $\bar{\eta} \simeq 10^{-3} \eta$. We discuss properties of these regions, as well as relevant constraints on the presence of anti-clouds in our Galaxy. We present constraints from the survival of anti-clouds in the Milky-Way and in the early Universe, as well as from CMB, gamma-ray and cosmic-ray observations. In particular, these require the anti-clouds to be almost free of normal matter. We also discuss an alternative where anti-domains are dominated by surviving anti-stars. We suggest that part of the unindentified sources in the 3FGL catalog can originate from anti-clouds or anti-stars. AMS-02 and GAPS data could further probe this scenario.

Conclusions

These are non-standard approaches. Even if dark matter is a WIMP, it may not produce antihelium.

However, if antihelium is detected, these are among the most reasonable methods for producing such an exotic particle.

All of these avenues are experimentally testable with upcoming colliders.

Uncertainties in the Rate

1.) Λ_b production rate

Comment on "Dark Matter Annihilation Can Produce a Detectable Antihelium Flux through Λ_b Decays"

M. Kachelrieß¹, S. Ostapchenko², and J. Tjemsland¹ ¹Institutt for fysikk, NTNU, Trondheim, Norway and ²D.V. Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

In a recent Letter, Winkler and Linden [1] (hereafter WL21) suggested that a previously neglected standard model process, namely the production of antihelium-3 nuclei through decays of $\overline{\Lambda}_b$ baryons, can significantly boost the flux of antihelium-3, induced by annihilations or decays of dark matter. This suggestion uses the fact that dark matter particles will annihilate typically into the heaviest quark-anti-quark pair, i.e. bb pairs, if the particle is a Majorana fermion and its mass is below the mass of the standard model gauge bosons [2]. These (anti-) b quarks will in turn hadronise and form (anti-) *b*-mesons and (anti-) *b*-baryons which then decay weakly. As pointed out by WL21, the $\overline{\Lambda}_b$ baryon is especially suited for the production of antihelium-3 through a coalescence process, because its rest mass of 5.6 GeV is not much above the rest mass of 5 (anti)-nucleons. As a result of the small relative momenta of these nucleons, the production of antihelium-3 via coalescence is enhanced in $\overline{\Lambda}_b$ decays.

May 2021 \mathbf{C} h [hep-p] $\overline{}$

of the coalescence momentum, since this change affects all types of processes involving baryon and meson production. As an example, one can consider (anti-) proton production in electron-positron annihilations, $e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{p}pX$. For a change of probQQtoQ from the default value 0.09 to 0.24—which is the value reproducing the value of the branching ratio $b \rightarrow \Lambda_b = 0.1$ chosen in WL21—the resulting proton multiplicity is compared in Table 1 to measurements. For instance at $\sqrt{s} = 91 \,\text{GeV}$, the predicted proton multiplicity in the " $\bar{\Lambda}_b$ tune" is 33σ away from the one measured [3]. For comparison, the standard settings in Pythia predict a Λ_b multiplicity in electronpositron annihilations at the Z-resonance of 0.016, which is less than 1σ away from the value 0.031 ± 0.016 given in Ref. [3]. As an example for the effects of a changed diquark formation parameter on pp collisions, we show in Table 2 the integrated yield at mid-rapidity, $dN/dy \mid_{|y|<0.5}$, of protons, kaons and pions measured by ALICE at LHC at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ [4]. Note also that the

Claims:

1.) Changing probQQtoQ is not needed because previous data was within uncertainties.

2.) Changing probQQtoQ breaks models of light baryon formation (at 33σ in certain LEP cross-sections)

Uncertainties in the Rate

1.) Λ_b production rate

Response to Comment on "Dark Matter Annihilation Can Produce a Detectable Antihelium Flux through $\overline{\Lambda}_b$ Decays"

Martin Wolfgang Winkler^{*} and Tim Linden[†] Stockholm University and The Oskar Klein Centre for Cosmoparticle Physics, Alba Nova, 10691 Stockholm, Sweden

In a recent paper we showed that the decay of intermediate $\bar{\Lambda}_b$ baryons can dramatically enhance the antihelium flux from dark matter annihilation. Our antihelium predictions were derived using several implementations of the Pythia and Herwig event generators which were calibrated to existing data on antideuteron and antihelium formation. Kachelrieß et al. have argued for a smaller antihelium flux compared to our most optimistic Monte Carlo model. However, we show that the arguments by Kachelrieß et al. are either incorrect or irrelevant for antihelium formation. Thus, the results of our original paper remain unchanged.

May 2021 $\overline{}$ \mathbf{C} hep-ph]

Summary of Original Paper – The AMS-02 cosmic-ray experiment has tentatively detected a handful of cosmic-ray antihelium events [1]. This observation is puzzling, since neither astrophysical processes nor dark matter annihilation were thought to produce a detectable antihelium flux. In recent work [2], we demonstrated that a previously neglected standard-model process – the production of antihelium nuclei through the decay of intermediate $\overline{\Lambda}_b$ baryons – could dramatically enhance the antihelium production rate from dark matter annihilation. The key insight is that Λ_b , due to its antibaryon number and 5.6 GeV rest-mass, efficiently decays to multi-antibaryons states with small relative momentum which coalesce into antihelium.

The antihelium flux from $\overline{\Lambda}_b$ decay depends on (1) the rate of $\bar{\Lambda}_b$ baryon production in dark matter annihilations to and and (2) the nucleability of a single $\overline{\Lambda}$ decaying

As we will show below, these statements are either incorrect or inapplicable to our study. Moreover, we stress that even if the analysis of KOT21 were entirely correct, these criticisms amount to only a factor of ~ 3 adjustment in a novel factor of ~ 100 effect first pointed out in our original paper.

First, statement (1) is incorrect. The fraction $f(b \to \Lambda_b)$ has been determined by a variety of LEP [4-6], Tevatron [7] and LHC [8] measurements. Several of the most relevant results are listed in Table I, together with the predicted rate from both default Pythia models and our Λ_b -tune model. Standard Pythia implementations predict a Λ_b -production rate that falls below results from several independent experiments and channels at a combined significance exceeding 10σ . Our Λ_b -tune model, on the other hand, provides a good fit to LEP data. It still underpredicts the data from hadron colliders, signal-

Claims:

1.) Untrue: Errors in Pythia Models were above 10σ .

2.) True - but irrelevant - if you want to get b-quark physics right, it is reasonable to tune the model to bquark physics.

An Astrophysical Solution: Move the Excess to High Energies

1.) Changing the coalescence model primarily affects the Helium yield when the total center of mass energy is small.

2.) Very good for predicted rates with GAPS, or low-energy AMS-02 observations.

3.) But AMS-02 antihelium are (generally reported) at energies of ~10 GeV/n.

Astrophysical Enhancements!

The current event rates depend on the detector sensitivity to anti-Helium.

We lose many events because most anti-He are produced at energies that are too small to be detected.

Use re-acceleration to boost the anti-He energies into the detectable range!

$$D_{pp}(R) = \frac{4}{3\delta(2-\delta)(4-\delta)(2+\delta)} \frac{R^2 d}{D_{xx}}$$

Cholis, Linden, Hooper (2020; 2001.08749)

Problem: Alfvén Velocity is Probably Not High

1.) Observations of cosmic-ray ratios strongly constrain fundamental diffusion parameters.

- 2.) Best-fit multi parameter models that fit e.g., B/C or heavy nuclei find $v_a = 0 - 25$ km/s.
 - Still possibly a contributor
- 3.) Caveats:
 - Alfvén velocity is probably not homogeneous.
 - Only need high Alfvén velocity in region where anti-He is produced (e.g., GC), not in rest of spatial volume.

Korsmeier, Cuoco (2021; 2103.09824)

 δ_h

Can We Find this At Particle Accelerators?

Current observations are not sensitive to this offset

The ITS2 run of ALICE is unlikely to be able to detect the signal, but may provide a hint if the antihelium production rate is near the upper limits of our predictions.

The upcoming ITS3 experiment from ALICE will be able to differentiate the Λ_b channel

Building a Specific Dark Particle Can further boost antihelium formation through the inclusion of a dark mediator that lies just above above the antihelium mass.

Winkler & Linden (2020; 2020.16251) Ding, Li, & Zhou (2022; 2212.05239)

Key Insight - Coalescence Momentum for Antihelium Should Be Larger

 $p_{0,G}$ (59 MeV/c) to 130% of $p_{0,G}$ (77 MeV/c).

Shukla et al. (2006.12707)

FIG. 4. The invariant production cross section ratio ${}^{3}\overline{\text{He}}/\overline{p}$ as function of momentum p [GeV/c] in the laboratory frame for (left) p-Be at $p_{\text{lab}} = 200 \,\text{GeV}/c$ and (right) p-Al at $p_{\text{lab}} = 200 \,\text{GeV}/c$. The uncertainty bands for this work were estimated by varying the coalescence parameter from

Uncertainties in the Rate

1.) Λ_b production rate

experiment	channel	measurement	Pythia (default)	Pythia (Λ_b -tune)
LEP [4, 5]	$f(b ightarrow \Lambda_b)$	$0.101\substack{+0.039\\-0.031}$	0.037	0.101
LEP [6]	$f(b ightarrow \Lambda_b, \Xi_b, \Omega_b)$	0.117 ± 0.021	0.047	0.127
Tevatron CDF [7]	$rac{f(b ightarrow \Lambda_b)}{f(b ightarrow B)}$	$0.281\substack{+0.141\\-0.103}$	0.046	0.135
LHCb [8]	$\frac{f(b \to \Lambda_b)}{f(b \to B)}$	0.259 ± 0.018	0.048	0.134

Enhances diquark formation and $\overline{\Lambda_b}$ production.

Winkler & Linden (2020; 2020.16251)

Solution: Change the parameter: probQQtoQ $\rightarrow 0.24$

Standard models should not be seen yet, even with $\overline{\Lambda_h} \rightarrow {}^3\mathrm{He}$

Antihelium Production in Antidomains

If the big bang is asymmetric - different regions may have inverted antiparticle/ particle dominance.

Anticlouds (and potentially antistars will form), undergoing BBN and later stellar fusion.

Can produce a significant (low-energy anti helium abundance)

Poulin et al. (2018; 1808.08961)

